

REGION III FALL CRC MOTIONS

Last Revised: 04/14/2011

National Capital Chapter – Motion 12 (10/24/10):

That ASHRAE modify the policy for Technology Awards whereby the design firm, and/or the engineer of record, is recognized for the innovative technological design concept.

01/31/11 – Withdrawn. While CTTC does not disagree with the statistics quoted by the National Capital Chapter, it is the belief of the committee that someone associated with this project that had a “significant role in the design or development of the project” (i.e. principal-in-charge, senior project manager, etc.), should still be employed by the same firm at the conclusion of the project. In August 2010, CTTC amended the Society-level Technology Award Application to request the name of the entrant(s) design firm and up to three members of the design team. At the Society Award Ceremony, one award plaque (in addition to the one received by the entrant(s) is presented to the building owner. The winning design firm and each additional entrant may purchase extra plaques.

National Capital Chapter – Motion 13 (10/24/10):

That ASHRAE modify the policy for Technology Awards where any ASHRAE member, with the written consent of the Engineer of Record and/or the Director of Engineering at the time of the design, may author the application for submission on behalf of the party responsible for the project.

01/31/11 – Withdrawn. While CTTC does not disagree with the statistics quoted by the National Capital Chapter it is the belief of the committee that someone associated with this project that had a “significant role in the design or development of the project” (i.e. principal-in-charge, senior project manager, etc.) should still be employed by the same firm at the conclusion of the project. Motion 13 is slightly confusing because it appears that the intent of the motion is to allow for someone else (other than the main project designer) to author and submit the project for the original firm the main designer was with as long as they have the consent of the main designer. Technology Awards criteria do not require the main designer to be the one who authors (i.e. prepares) the submission. It only requires that someone that had a “significant role in the design or development of the project” to ultimately submit the project. There is already a section on the Society-level application which requires that the Engineer of Record give consent for the submission of the project. It is the CTTC’s opinion that the issues raised in this motion have already been addressed.

National Capital Chapter – Motion 14 (10/24/10):

That ASHRAE modify the policy for Technology Awards where ASHRAE adopts an electronic application form and submission process to better align with the Society’s sustainability values.

01/31/11 – Withdrawn. CTTC agrees with the National Capital Chapter’s desire to encourage electronic application of the Society’s process. To help address the need to provide more electronic processes for Technology Award submittals, the current procedures are in place for the Society-level Long Application:

- a) The current “Long Application Form” does not require any hardcopy submission. It is made available via download from the CTTC webpage. Hardcopies are not distributed.
- b) Item #1 of the Long Application Instructions specifically notes in bold that “all entries are to be in PDF format only, no hardcopy entries.”
- c) Item #4 of the Long Application Instructions specifies that signatures do not have to be written, but can be electronic signatures. Since most reports are written with word



processor type software, the pictures and graphics inserted into these reports are typically electronic type media, PDF copies only are requested, and electronic signatures are accepted.

- d) **Copies of all submissions are distributed in PDF format via CD for the Technology Awards Judging Panel's review.**

CTTC concluded that ASHRAE is already accepting electronic (i.e. paperless) Technology Award Applications under the current submission process. CTTC is modifying the current text of the Application to further identify the electronic submission process and will continue to evaluate electronic submission mechanisms as needed.

Philadelphia Chapter – Motion 34 (10/24/10) / Motion 15 (01/31/11):

That Society investigate available electronic meeting registration and payment systems for Chapters and Regions to utilize at their option. The results are to be reported at the end of ASHRAE Program Year 2011-2012.

01/31/11 – Approved. Staff was assigned an action item to develop an online meetings registration package that all regions will have the option to use for their chapter events and to investigate reducing or charging a credit card fee based on percentage.

Hampton Roads Chapter – Motion 5 (10/25/08):

That Society create a certification for HVAC design technicians similar to the Hospital Design Professional.

Defeated because of the fiscal impact and noncompliance with certification requirements; however, an action item was assigned to staff to forward this information to the ASHRAE Manager of Education and Certification with a note to express continued interest from the chapters for this type of program.

Philadelphia Chapter – Motion 17 (10/25/08):

That the CRC Transportation Reimbursement Policy be revised to include associated tolls as well as mileage (limited to CRC travel policy only).

Defeated because this is a regional issue and the region should decide if they want to cover the expense of tolls.

Johnstown Chapter – Motion 8 (09/21/07):

That Society make chapter member biographical information available for review to chapter presidents directly through the Society website for the purpose of making the nominating process at CRC for Society awards easier.

Approved.

National Capital Chapter – 2007 Fall CRC:

That Society reimburse Chapter Technology Transfer Committee Chairs for transportation expenses related to attendance at Chapters Regional Conferences (CRCs).

Approved. (This same motion was submitted by several regions during their 2007 Fall CRC. Because of this, Members Council considered one motion from Region IV which asked that both the CTTC and SAC chairs be reimbursed.)