

Determining Airflows and VOC Source Strengths for an Occupied School



Brett Stinson¹, Aurélie Laguerre¹, Elliott T. Gall¹

1: Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA.

Contact emails: bstinson@pdx.edu, aurelie@pdx.edu, gall@pdx.edu

BACKGROUND

- 15% of schools are located within 250 meters of a freeway.¹
- Students are exposed to air pollutants with indoor and outdoor sources at near-roadway schools.
- We conducted a field study at Harriet Tubman Middle School (HTMS) in Portland, OR, USA.²
- Adjacent to Interstate 5
- Renovated to include advanced air cleaning systems *(Figure 1)*



Figure 1: Schematic of HTMS and renovated air handler

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Airflows and Occupant Density

Table 1: Average air flowrates and occupant density across 05/28/19, 05/29/19, and 05/31/19

Outdoor Air Exchange Rate (h ⁻¹)	Supply Air Change Rate (h ⁻¹)	Occupant Density (# of people)
0.96	1.79	513

Source Apportionment

- A source apportionment was performed on 11 compounds associated with human activity and traffic-related air pollution to determine their origin within the near-roadway school (*Figure 3*).
 - Monoterpenes source is dominated by occupant contributions
 - The chemistry monoterpenes engage in can result in the generation of potentially harmful secondary products, including formaldehyde and secondary organic aerosols.
 - Benzene, which is associated with vehicle emissions, is mostly present due to supply air contributions.
 - Benzene is classified as a known human carcinogen.
 - Supply air source strengths of benzene would likely be much higher if not for the activated carbon scrubber present in the AHU.

11 Compounds Quantified, in Decreasing Indoor Source Strength



• We broadly characterized indoor and site outdoor air quality at HTMS.

METHODS

- Measurements made in return, outdoor, and supply air flows in AHU
- VOCs measured using a PTR-ToF-MS instrument in the air handler
- We estimated site airflows through analysis of CO₂ trends.
 - Supply air flowrate backed out using CO₂ signals (*Figure 2*):



- *Figure 2:* Accumulation, steady-state, and decay period CO_2 analysis on 05/28/2019
 - 1) Decay period used to determine outdoor air flowrate
- Outdoor air flowrate used during steady-state period to determine # of people present
- 3 # of people present used during accumulation period to determine supply air flowrate

Per-Person Emission Rates

- Per-person emission rates were quantified, including compounds associated with human metabolism, and compared to literature (*Figure 4*):
 - VOC emissions from human metabolism (isoprene) may be higher due to eating and physical exercise activities inside the school.
 - Higher monoterpene emissions may be due to increased usage of scented personal care products.
 - Higher ethanol emission rates may be due to hand sanitizer and cleaning products used in the middle school environment.



• VOC source strengths were found with mass balances, e.g. mass emitted into the school:³

$$M = Q_{supply} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (C_{return} - C_{supply}) dt + V \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dC_{return}$$

- Non-occupancy sources were accounted for by analyzing data on 05/27/19, a holiday.
- Source strengths were apportioned into four categories:
 - E_{occupants}: Occupancy sources
 - E_{building}: *Non-occupancy sources*
 - E_{supply}: *Supply air sources*
 - E_{per-person}: Per-person emission rates

CONCLUSION

- New data concerning VOC source strengths to a building is presented here, which can enable the modeling of air pollution exposures in schools.
- HTMS is unique, in that it has the benefit of particle and gas-phase air cleaning systems—source strength results are likely to vary across buildings.
- Future studies in more buildings are critical for characterizing sources of pollution in environments that house susceptible populations, such as schools.

References: ¹Kingsley, S. L. et al. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. (2014), ²Gall, E. T. Indoor and outdoor air quality at Harriet Tubman Middle School and the design of mitigation measures: Phase I report. (2018), ³Tang, X., Misztal, P. K., Nazaroff, W. W. & Goldstein, A. H. Environ. Sci. Technol. (2016), ⁴Stönner, C., Edtbauer, A. & Williams, J. Indoor Air (2018), ⁵Pagonis, D. et al. Environ. Sci. Technol. (2019)