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Learning Objectives

- Describe how germicidal ultraviolet light inactivates microorganisms
- Compare the ultraviolet susceptibility of different pathogens in terms of the UV rate constant
- Use dose–response relationships in the design of UVGI systems
- Understand germicidal light source options and their most important application characteristics.
- Distinguish between and the main types ultraviolet air and surface treatment equipment and their applications
Most Deadly Diseases – Airborne and Fomite transmission

(World Health Report, WHO 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Annual Mortality (1995 data)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute lower respiratory infections</td>
<td>4.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrheal diseases</td>
<td>3.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>3.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>&gt;1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis</td>
<td>355,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transmission commonly occurs indoors due to proximity and favorable environment for pathogens
Factors Affecting Airborne Disease Transmission – Wells–Riley Equation

\[ C = S \left[ 1 - \exp\left( -\frac{Iqpt}{Q} \right) \right] \]

- \( C \) = new infections
- \( S \) = number of susceptibles
- \( I \) = number of infectors
- \( q \) = number of infectious doses
- \( p \) = pulmonary ventilation rate per susceptible
- \( t \) = exposure time
- \( Q \) = flow rate of uncontaminated air

- Ventilation reduces risk by reducing exposure
- Filters and air disinfection device performance can be expressed as equivalent ventilation rate
Disinfection using UV light has a long history

- 1892 Germicidal effect of UV on B. anthracis shown
- 1909 First UV water treatment plant
- 1936 Overhead systems applied in hospitals
- 1937 Upper air systems applied in schools
- 1940 Application to HVAC systems
- 1999 WHO recommends UV for TB control
- 2003 CDC sanctions use of UV for TB control
Reported Effectiveness Air Disinfection

- Wells, Wells, and Wilder (1942)
- Interventions in two schools in 1937
- Upper air UVGI
- Tracking of infectious disease outbreaks

---

Am. J. Hygiene (1942)
Reported Effectiveness Air Disinfection

Figure 1. Classroom, Germantown Friends School, central radiant sources.
Reported Effectiveness Room Disinfection

- Compare normal cleaning and UVC room decontamination no HAI pathogens (Wong, et al. 2016)
- Conventional cleaning (peroxide and detergent) or automated UV
- Cleaning – no significant change in number of rooms where contamination was detected
- UV – large reduction in contaminated rooms and in counts
# Reported Effectiveness Room Disinfection

## Table 1
Percentages of rooms contaminated with MRSA, VRE, or CD before and after manual cleaning and UVC disinfection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Before manual cleaning</th>
<th>After manual cleaning</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>After UVC disinfection</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>21/61 (34.4)</td>
<td>17/61 (27.9)</td>
<td>.502</td>
<td>0.67 (0.236-1.774)</td>
<td>2/61 (3.3)</td>
<td>.0003</td>
<td>0.00 (0.000-0.279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRE</td>
<td>18/61 (29.5)</td>
<td>18/61 (29.5)</td>
<td>.773</td>
<td>1.00 (0.267-3.741)</td>
<td>3/61 (4.9)</td>
<td>.0003</td>
<td>0.00 (0.000-0.279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>7/22 (31.8)</td>
<td>5/22 (22.7)</td>
<td>.617</td>
<td>0.33 (0.006-4.151)</td>
<td>0/22 (0)</td>
<td>.0736</td>
<td>0.00 (0.000-1.091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSA, VRE, or CD</td>
<td>39/61 (63.9)</td>
<td>32/61 (52.5)</td>
<td>.211</td>
<td>0.53 (0.196-1.34)</td>
<td>5/61 (8.2)</td>
<td>.0001</td>
<td>0.00 (0.000-0.146)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Values are n/N (%) or as otherwise indicated.
Abbreviations: CD, *Clostridium difficile*; CI, confidence interval; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; OR, odds ratio; UVC, ultraviolet-C; VRE, vancomycin-resistant enterococci.

*McNemar test for paired samples, 2-tailed P value.
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UVGI Fundamentals

- UV Spectrum
- Microbial Dose Response
- Microbial Susceptibility
Optical Radiation (1 nm – 1mm)

State of the art is based on 254 nm UVC “Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation” (UVGI)
Germicidal Action of UVC

- UVC damages DNA/RNA of microorganisms (virus, bacteria, fungi)
- Microorganisms *inactivated*, i.e., become unable to replicate

Martin Hesseling, Hochschule Ulm
Germicidal Action Spectrum

Mainly UVC, some UVB effect, max ~265 nm UVC
To a first approximation:

\[ S = \exp(-kIt) = \exp(-kD) \]

- \( S \) = surviving fraction of initial population
- \( k \) = deactivation rate constant (cm\(^2\)/\(\mu\)W·s)
- \( I \) = UV fluence (\(\mu\)W/cm\(^2\))
- \( t \) = duration of exposure (s)
- \( It = D = \) “dose” (\(\mu\)J/cm\(^2\))
Microbial Response to UVGI – $k$

- $k$ varies by orders of magnitude
- Smaller $k \rightarrow$ more resistant
- Repeatable $k$ measurement is difficult
Representative Values (cm\(^2/\mu\text{W} \cdot \text{s}\))

- **Bacillus anthracis (bacterial spore)**
  - Water: 0.000056
  - Surface: 0.0002702
- **Mycobacterium tuberculosis (vegetative bacteria)**
  - Water: 0.0004773
  - Air: 0.0047210
- **Influenza A (RNA virus)**
  - 0.0010103 (water)
  - 0.0011900 (air)
- **Measles**: 0.0010510 (RNA virus, water)
- **MHV coronavirus**: 0.00377 (RNA virus, air)

Effect of $k$ on Survival

$I = 500 \, \mu W/cm^2$

- Anthrax, $k = 0.000056 \, \mu W$-s/cm$^2$
- Legionella, $k = 0.0016178 \, \mu W$-s/cm$^2$
UVGI Equipment

- Lamps
- Ballasts
- Impact of Ballast Selection
- Operating Characteristics
- Effects of Important Environmental Factors
Lamps

- Current generation uses same technology as fluorescent lamps
- Typical lamp
  - Low pressure Hg vapor or amalgam lamp
  - Electric field excites vapor, which emits UVC mainly at 253.7 nm
  - UVC nominally ~20%-30% of input power
  - Quartz or soft glass tube with high UVC transmittance
Lamps

- Lamp shapes
  - Single tube
  - Biaxial (twin tube)
  - U–tube
Lamps

- Output Level
  - Standard output (425 ma)
  - High output (800–1200 ma)
  - *High output lamps may operate at higher temperature than standard output lamps, with benefit for some applications*

Note: 1 m/s ≈ 198 fpm
**Lamps**

- **Cathode types**
  - **Hot cathode**
    - Coated filament, thermionic effect
    - Higher output than cold cathode
    - Starts affect life
  - **Cold cathode**
    - High-voltage potential ionizes gas in lamp
    - Lower power/output than hot cathode
    - Long life, not affected by starts
Ballasts

- Ballast = power supply
- Provides high starting voltage, then controls to safe operating current
- Ballasts should be matched with lamp per manufacturer’s recommendations

- Starting mode
  - Preheat
  - Rapid start
  - Instant start

- Types
  - Magnetic
  - Electronic

- Dimming ballasts are available but not in common use
Impact of Ballast Selection on Lamp Performance

- Ballast selection affects lamp...
  - Output
  - Life
    - Hot cathode ~5000 – 10,000 h (affected by cycling)
    - Cold cathode ~20,000 h
  - Efficiency (e.g., high frequency electronic vs. electromagnetic)

- Ballast may also create audible noise (electromagnetic), EMI/RFI (electronic), and affect power quality
Lamp Depreciation and Life

Depreciation minimally ~15% but may be up to 50%
Typical life ~8000 h for hot cathode, but affected by application

Depreciation

Hot Cathode Life
Wind Chill

- Lamp output depends on vapor pressure inside lamp
- Vapor pressure controlled by the coldest temperature on the lamp tube—“cold spot temperature”
- Cold spot temperature depends on:
  - Lamp shape
  - Lamp orientation
  - Air velocity and temperature
  - Power input to lamp
- Standard rating conditions—room temperature, still air—often do not represent application conditions
- Sleeved lamps reduce wind chill but at significant cost
Maximum output when cold spot $T = 40^\circ C$ (109°F)
[Note - $0^\circ C = 32^\circ F$, $80^\circ C = 176^\circ F$]
Effect of Air Temperature and Speed
(Standard output lamp, cross flow)

1 m/s = 196 ft/min, 15.6°C = 60°F, 35°C = 95°F
Temperature of Lamp in Cross Flow Conditions: 32.2°C (90.0°F), 1.78 m/s (350 ft/min)

Center (flow left to right)

Socket end (hot spot at cathode)
Lamp Wind Chill Map
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Overall Lamp Output

- Factors
  - Depreciated output
  - Peak capacity adjusted for wind chill

- Example
  - Depreciation of 20%
  - 15°C, 2 m/s wind chill (59°F, 394 fpm) → ~55% max
  - Output = 0.80 × 0.55 = 44% of max
UVGI Applications and System Design Principles

- Upper Room Disinfection
- In-Duct Air Disinfection
- In-Duct Surface Disinfection
- In-Room Surface Disinfection
Upper Room Disinfection

- Fixtures located above occupied zone
- Fixture directs UVC horizontally to create a disinfection zone
- Natural or forced air movement brings contaminated air into zone

ASHRAE Handbook – 2019 HVAC Applications, Ch. 62, Fig. 5
Upper Room Disinfection

- Air distribution system not required, but good mixing ventilation helps
- Safety a concern because lamps are in occupied space
- Test for acceptable occupied zone exposure

2019 ASHRAE Handbook—HVAC Applications, Ch. 62, Fig. 6
Upper Room Air Disinfection
Upper Room Disinfection

- Oldest type of air disinfection system
- Good application for standard lamps
- Approved by U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/ National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health for control of tuberculosis

NIOSH (2009) Upper Room Design Guidelines

- Irradiance
  - Arrange lamps for uniform irradiance
  - 30 µW/cm² to 50 µW/cm² average
  - Suggested simplification
    - 1.87 W/m² (0.17 W/ft²) of lamps for floor area
    - 6 W/m³ (0.18 W/ft³) of lamps for upper zone volume
NIOSH (2009) Upper Room Design Guidelines

- Ventilation
  - Mixing preferred
  - Additive to 6 ach
- Humidity: <60% RH
Portable UVGI Unit

- Lamps in enclosure
- Fan – 450 / 1000 cfm (212 / 472 L/s)
- HEPA or ULPA filtration can be added
Deactivate airborne microorganisms “on the fly”

Typically installed in AHU and do dual coil/ filter cleaning duty

Sizing of dual systems dictated by air disinfection requirements

Typical target is 85% single pass inactivation at design value of k but may be much higher

“Typical” system ~0.02 W/cfm (0.04 W/(L/s)
Air-Handler “In-Duct” Installation

Downstream coil surface/air installation
Air-Handler “In-Duct” Installation

- System designed for 99.98% single-pass inactivation
In–Duct Air Disinfection

- Depending on application (flow conditions, disinfection goal), required fluence may be 100s of µW/cm²
- In–duct system likely to require less lamp power than upper room system, however,
  - Cannot have an effective ventilation air change rate higher than supply air flow rate
  - May not be as effective at providing protection in a high-density occupancy
- Installation upstream of cooling coils should minimize power requirements/cost but may not be best if coil maintenance is also desired
In–Duct Surface Disinfection

- Irradiate coil or filter surfaces to control growth—upstream/downstream/both
- Reduces air–side flow resistance, increases heat conductance
- GSA P100 (2017 ed., 5.1, 5.2.6)
  - “Tier 3 High Performance” systems
  - Required for cooling coils, condensate pans, and other wetted AHU surfaces
- Wide range of opinions on sizing:
  - 5 μW/cm² on opposite side of coil
  - 200–2000 μW/cm² on irradiated face
Yi, et al.—$\Delta P$ Reduction up to 15%
Multiple choices for lamp configuration
- Downstream
- Upstream
- Both

Considerations:
- Irradiate condensate pan
- Treat coil and filter bank
- Impact of air temperature on lamp output
- Is air disinfection a goal?
In–Room Surface Disinfection

- Permanently installed fixtures
- Healthcare application
- May have occupied/unoccupied modes
In–Room Surface Disinfection

- Standalone, portable
- May have ability to sense dose delivered
- Otherwise, use dosimeters
Photodegradation of Materials

- Affected Materials
- ASHRAE RP–1509
Affected Materials

- UVC can degrade organic materials, for example,
  - Electrical insulation
  - Elastomers and sealants
  - Filter media
  - Gaskets and pipe insulation
  - Furnishings and finishes
- Severity for given exposure varies widely
- More known about UVA and UVB (found in sunlight)
- Basic approach is to use UV-resistant materials whenever possible and shield materials that will degrade significantly
- Can be a problem for retrofits
ASHRAE RP–1509

- Investigated 54 materials
- Assumed accelerated tests would be valid
  - Literature review confirmed “reciprocity law”
    - Degradation dependent only on total incident energy
    - Should have similar results if $I \times t = \text{constant}$
- Criteria for photodegradation
  - Loss of surface mass—stylus or optical profilometer
  - Physical property changes—thermo–mechanical analyzer (TMA)
  - Composition changes—Fourier transform infrared analyzer (FTIR)
- Developed classification scheme for susceptibility to degradation
Surface Loss vs. Energy Input

![Graph showing surface loss vs. energy input for different materials.](image)
Surface Loss vs. Energy Input

- Test predicts rate of material loss from surface
- Does **not** predict time to failure directly
- Failure depends on application, especially thickness of material
- For example, for polybutylene terephthalate (PBT) irradiated for 1200 h with 11,000 $\mu$m/cm$^2$ 254 nm UVC:
  - 50 $\mu$m wire insulation loses 40% of mass
  - 1 cm panel loses ~0.2% of mass
UVGI System Maintenance Requirements

- Lamp Replacement
- Lamp and Ballast Disposal
- Visual Inspection
- Radiation Testing
Maintenance — Lamps, Ballasts

- Lamps should be replaced at end of “useful life”
  - Nominal life specified by manufacturer (6000–10,000 h of operation)
  - No less than annually for continuous operation
  - As needed based on measured output

- Lamp disposal
  - Hg is a hazard—recycle lamps properly
  - Learn and follow applicable regulations

- Ballast disposal
  - Old (pre–1979) ballasts contain PCBs—hazardous waste
  - Recycling of all ballasts preferred—reclaim Cu, AL, steel
Maintenance—Visual Inspection

- Use viewing port and/or appropriate protective gear
- Check for
  - Burned out/failing lamps/fixtures (replace)
  - Excessive dust/dirt accumulation (clean—lint free cloth/glass cleaner/isopropyl alcohol—leave no residue)
Radiometer measurements
- Confirm acceptable output level
- Confirm acceptable occupied zone exposure for upper-air systems

In-situ sensors may be considered for fault detection
- Check relative output level after calibration by high-accuracy instrument

Highly accurate measurements require costly instrumentation
UV Health and Safety Considerations

- UV Exposure
- Ozone Generation
- Lamp Breakage
- Protective Measures
UV Exposure

Consequences of UVB and UVC exposure

- Eye irritation (photokeratitis and conjunctivitis)
  - Blurred vision, blinking, tearing, light sensitivity
  - Develops 4–12 h after exposure
  - Painful but generally reversible
  - Effects may last 48 h
- Skin irritation (erythema)
Exposure Limits

- NIOSH Limits for 253.7 nm UVC
  - 1 s: 600 μW/cm²
  - 1 min: 100 μW/cm²
  - 1 hour: 1.7 μW/cm²
  - 8 hours: 0.2 μW/cm² (standard for upper-air)

- In-duct systems may produce 1000–10,000 μW/cm²
- Safe exposure for in-duct range is ~10 s or less
Ozone

- Oxidizing pollutant created by breakup of stable O$_2$ molecules to form O$_3^+$
- OSHA PEL/NIOSH REL—0.1 ppmv
- 254 nm UVC does not produce ozone—wavelengths below 240 nm can
- Ozone production of low pressure Hg lamps is small because most radiation is 254 nm—small amount of O$_3^+$ producing 185
Ozone producing UVC can be filtered by properly selected tube materials or coating.

Periodic testing or continuous monitoring can confirm safe operation.

[Chart showing transmission and wavelengths for different materials: Natural, Synthetic, Ti Doped.]

Helios Quartz - [http://www.heliosquartz.com](http://www.heliosquartz.com)
Use full protective clothing when servicing or inspecting operating equipment

Upper-air
- Warning signs
- On/off switches and disconnects

In-duct
- Warning labels—doors/access panels
- Lamp disconnects outside lamp chamber
  - Positive disconnects preferred
  - If switched, locate away from room lighting
- UV-absorbing view ports
Disinfection of air and surfaces with germicidal light is a well-established technology with demonstrated effectiveness against many pathogens.

254 nm UVC is the predominant wavelength today due to its high effectiveness and the availability of Hg vapor lamps.

UVGI can be applied to airstreams and surfaces in HVAC systems and to air and surfaces in spaces.

In application, care must be taken to limit human exposure and exposure of materials subject to photo-degradation.

UVGI is an adjunct to ventilation and filtration of particulate matter, not a replacement.

Emerging LED source technology will likely replace Hg lamps and result in use of other wavelengths and new applications.
Basic References

- ASHRAE Handbook
  - 2016 HVAC Systems and Equipment, Ch. 17 Ultraviolet Lamp Systems
  - 2019 HVAC Applications, Ch. 62 Ultraviolet Air and Surface Treatment
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