## December 11, 2023

The corrections listed in this errata sheet apply to all copies of ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 90.4-2022. The first printing is identified on the outside back cover as "Product code: 86237 2/23". Shaded items have been added since the previously published errata sheet dated September 14, 2023 was distributed.

## Page Erratum

29 Table B-3 ASHRAE Standard 90.4 Compliance Checklist: Section 8, "Power". In Table B-3, Section 8.4.1, change $2 \%$ to $3 \%$ as shown below.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)
8.4.1 Electrical systems serving mechanical systems have pathway losses not exceeding $3 \% 2 \%$.

## 47 Chart C-1 Calculation of UPS Segment of ELC

(Example Based on Modular UPS with N +1 Redundancy Designed at $80 \%$ Normal Loading) Revise the equations in the columns shown below. Changes are highlighted in yellow.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)

| UPS <br> Total <br> Capacity, <br> $\mathbf{k W}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: |
| 550 g |
| $f$ |
| $f=d+e$ |

## Chart 2 Calculation of UPS-to-PDU Feeder Segment of ELC-Step \#1

Revise the equations in the columns shown below. Changes highlighted in yellow.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)

| \% <br> Design <br> Load | UPS Output, $\mathbf{k V A}^{9,12}$ | PDU <br> Quant. ${ }^{10}$ | PDU <br> Size, <br> kVA ${ }^{12}$ | PDU <br> Actual, kVA ${ }^{10,12}$ | PDU <br> Input, V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% | 444.44 | 4 | 150 | 111.11 | 480 |
| 75\% | 333.33 | 4 | 150 | 83.33 | 480 |
| 50\% - | 222.22 | 4 | 150 | 55.56 | 480 |
| 25\% | 111.11 | 4 | 150 | 27.78 | 480 |
| $a$ | $b$ | c | d | $e$ | f |
| $a=1$ | $\underline{b=1} \underline{u}$ |  |  | $e=b / c$ | $f=1$ ik |

## Standard 90.4-2022 Errata

## 48 Chart 4 Calculation of Branch Circuit Portion of Distribution Segment of ELC - Step \# 3

Revise the equations in the columns shown below. Changes highlighted in yellow.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)

| Loss and Efficiency of Worst Case Branch Circuit from PDU Branch Breakers to Cabinets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design <br> Load, | Distrib. <br> Volts, | Breaker <br> Rating, | Max. <br> Current, | Current <br> @ Load $\%$, | Per Cond. <br> Power, | No. Cond. | Total <br> Power | Wire <br> Size, | Wire <br> Length, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ohms/ } \\ & \text { 1000', } \end{aligned}$ | Wire <br> Resist., | $\mathbf{I}^{2} \mathbf{R}$ | $I^{2} r$ Loss <br> Per <br> Cond., | Total <br> Loss, | Power Loss, | Segment Effic., |
| \% | 1Ph | A | - $\mathrm{A}^{18}$ | A | $\mathrm{VA}^{12}$ |  | VA ${ }^{12}$ | AWG 19 | ft | $\begin{array}{r} 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 12 \end{array}$ | ohms | Amps ${ }^{2}$ | VA ${ }^{12,13}$ | VA ${ }^{12,13}$ | 3 \% | \% 20 |
| 100\% | 208 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 28822496 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5764 \\ & 4994 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \#10 | 50 | 1.21 | 0.0605 | 576.00 | 34.85 | 69.70 | 1.24 1.40\% | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 98.79 . \\ & \underline{98.60 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| 75\% | 208 | 30 | 24 | 18 | $2162 \underline{1872}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 4323 \\ & \underline{3744} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \#10 | 50 | 1.21 | 0.0605 | 324.00 | 19.60 | 39.20 | $0.941 .05 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.09 . \\ & \underline{98.95 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| 50\% | 208 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 1441-1248 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2882 \\ & \underline{2496} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \#10 | 50 | 1.21 | 0.0605 | 144.00 | 8.71 | 17.42 | 0.60 0.70\% | $\begin{aligned} & 99.40- \\ & \underline{99.30 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| 25\% | 208 | 30 | 24 | 6 | $721 \underline{624}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1441 \\ & 1248 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \#10 | 50 | 1.21 | 0.0605 | 36.00 | 2.18 | 4.36 | 0.30 0.35\% | $\begin{aligned} & 99.70 \text {. } \\ & \underline{99.65 \%} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underline{a}$ | $b$ | $c$ | d | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ | $\boldsymbol{h}$ | $i$ | $j$ | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $l$ | $\boldsymbol{m}$ | $n$ | $o$ | $q$ | $r$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & b= \\ & 3 \text { 3 } d \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & d=c \times \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | $e=a \times d$ | $\begin{aligned} & f=b \times e / \sqrt{3} \\ & f=b \times e / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $h=f \times g$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & l=k / 1000 \\ & \times j \end{aligned}$ | $m=e \quad n=m \times l$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & o=g \times \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & q=o / h \times \\ & 100 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r=100 \%- \\ & q \end{aligned}$ |

49 Chart 5 ELC Calculation of Distribution Segment of ELC- Step 4
Revise the equations in the columns shown below. Changes highlighted in yellow.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)
Combined UPS, PDU, and Branch Ckt. Efficiencies for Distribution Segment of ELC


49 Chart 6 ELC Calculation Based on Losses
Revise the equations in the columns shown below. Changes highlighted in yellow.
(Note: Additions are shown in underline and deletions are shown in strikethrough.)


