

**INTERPRETATION IC 135-2024-4 OF  
ANSI/ASHRAE STANDARD 135-2024 BACnet® -  
A Data Communication Protocol for Building  
Automation and Control Networks**

Approval Date: April 30, 2025

**Request from:** Michael Osborne, BTB Consulting, 408 - 9864 Fourth St, Sidney, BC, V8L 2Z4.

**Reference:** This request for interpretation refers to ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 135-2024 and pertains to the CONFIGURATION\_ERROR requirements in the Reliability property clauses.

**Background:**

**12.2.9 Reliability**

This property, of type BACnetReliability, provides an indication of whether the Present\_Value or the operation of the physical input in question is "reliable" as far as the BACnet device or operator can determine and, if not, why.

If a fault algorithm is applied, then this property shall be the pCurrentReliability parameter for the object's fault algorithm.

The Reliability property shall take on a value of CONFIGURATION\_ERROR under these conditions:

- (a) If both limits are enabled in the Limit\_Enable property, and the value of the High\_Limit property is less than the value of the Low\_Limit property, or
- (b) if the value of Fault\_High\_Limit property is less than the value of the Fault\_Low\_Limit property.

**Problem:**

An existing device contains an Analog Input object that supports intrinsic reporting and has writable High\_Limit and Low\_Limit properties. The object also contains the Reliability property and supports FAULT\_OUT\_OF\_RANGE algorithm.

As of Protocol\_Revision 27 (Addendum ci), that same object must also generate a CONFIGURATION\_ERROR, CHANGE\_OF\_RELIABILITY event.

CONFIGURATION\_ERROR is a nice feature but should not be required.

**Interpretation:** For Clause 12 objects that specify Reliability equal to CONFIGURATION\_ERROR, the requirement should have been optional.

**Question:** Is this Interpretation correct?

**Answer:** No