## INTERPRETATION IC 62.1-2016-9 OF ANSI/ASHRAE STANDARD 62.1-2016 VENTILATION FOR ACCEPTABLE INDOOR AIR QUALITY

Approved: June 14, 2021

**<u>Request from:</u>** Adam Fecteau, Aldes North America, 100 Carter St., St. Leonard d'Aston, QC, Canada JOC 1M0.

**<u>Reference</u>**: This request for interpretation refers to the requirements presented in ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2016, Table 6.2.2.2 – Zone Air Distribution Effectiveness, regarding Note 5.

**Background:** Diffusors manufacturers used ASHRAE Standard 129 to test their diffusor's airchange effectiveness in one laboratory setting, calculated an average Ez of 1.1 and now claim on their literature that designers can reduce their outdoor air requirement by 9% simply by using their product, independently of the actual design parameters.

Note 5 of Table 6.2.2.2 states that "As an alternative to using the above values, Ez may be regarded as equal to air-change effectiveness determined in accordance with ASHRAE Standard 129<sup>16</sup> for air distribution configurations except unidirectional flow."

ASHRAE Standard 129 testing procedure used in a laboratory setting is not sufficient to extrapolate the results to any designs and  $E_z$  shall be assessed for every design.

**Interpretation:** A designer cannot extrapolate the results of an ASHRAE Standard 129 test for a given diffusor held in a laboratory setting to any designs and must assess the appropriate  $E_z$  to be used for every design.

**Question:** Is this interpretation correct?

## Answer: Yes

**<u>Comments</u>**: Air change effectiveness is particular to the room geometry, orientation, diffuser position, and airflow rate, therefore it cannot be attached to an air device, however this does not preclude laboratory testing of applications of an air device, other than for unidirectional flow, to a particular design. It should also be noted that changing the zone air distribution effectiveness  $(E_Z)$  does not necessarily change the system ventilation efficiency  $(E_v)$ .